# ISOMORPHIC EMBEDDING OF $\ell_p^n$ , $1 , INTO <math>\ell_1^{(1+\varepsilon)n}$

#### BY

# ASSAF NAOR

Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem Givat Ram, Jerusalem 91904, Israel e-mail: naor@math.huji.ac.il

#### AND

#### ARTEM ZVAVITCH\*

Department of Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science Rehovot 76100, Israel e-mail: tim@wisdom.weizmann.ac.il

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper we partially answer a question posed by V. Milman and G. Schechtman by proving that  $\ell_p^n$ ,  $(C \log n)^{\frac{1}{q}(1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon})}$ -embeds into  $\ell_1^{(1+\varepsilon)n}$ , where 1 and <math>1/p + 1/q = 1.

#### 1. Introduction

In 1982 W. B Johnson and G. Schechtman [J-S1], [J-S2], [M-S,1] proved that if  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  then, for any positive  $\epsilon$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(\epsilon)$  such that  $\ell_p^n$ ,  $(1+\epsilon)$ -embeds into  $\ell_1^{Cn}$ . (A generalization of this result was obtained by G. Pisier [P] in 1983.) The same result for p=2 was proved in 1977 by T. Figiel, J. Lindenstrauss and V. Milman [F-L-M] who published a detailed investigation of Dvoretzky's theorem. In the same year, B. S. Kashin [K] proved that for any  $0 < \theta < 1$  the space  $\ell_1^n$  has a subspace of dimension  $\theta n$  which is  $C(\theta)$  close to Euclidean space. An investigation of all large dimensional subspaces of  $\ell_1^n$  was

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by ISF. Received November 17, 1999

continued by G. Schechtman, J. Bourgain, J. Lindenstrauss, V. Milman, S. J. Szarek, M. Talagrand and others.

These results, together with the recent proofs of the isomorphic version of Dvoretzky's theorem ([M-S,2], [M-S,3]), led to the following question posed by V. Milman and G. Schechtman: Does there exist a universal constant  $C(\varepsilon)$  such that  $\ell_p^n$  can be  $C(\varepsilon)$ -embedded into  $\ell_1^{(1+\varepsilon)n}$ ? In other words, can we replace the constant C in the Johnson–Schechtman result by  $1+\varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon>0$ , at the expense of changing the constant  $1+\varepsilon$  to some other (possibly large) universal constant (which depends on  $\varepsilon$ )? In this paper we prove the following weaker version:

THEOREM: There is a universal constant C such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and every n there is a subspace Y of  $\ell_1^{(1+\epsilon)n}$  with  $d(\ell_p^n,Y) < (C\log n)^{\frac{1}{q}\left(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)}$ , where 1/p+1/q=1 and  $d(\cdot,\cdot)$  denotes the Banach–Mazur distance.

All the proofs of the result [J-S1] use random embeddings  $T: \ell_p^m \to \ell_1^n$ . The main point is to prove a concentration result which states that if  $||b||_p = 1$  then  $P(|||T(b)||_1 - 1| > a)$  is exponentially small with respect to n. Such a result yields, by standard arguments, that if n/m is large enough then with high probability T would be a  $\frac{1+a}{1-a}$ -embedding. If, however, we want n/m to be arbitrarily close to 1, we are forced to choose a large a. When a > 1, the estimate on  $P(1-||T(b)||_1 > a)$  is clearly meaningless, and we don't get any lower bound for  $||T(b)||_1$ .

In our proof we present a different approach. We still have a random embedding T ( which is quite different from the embeddings used before), and we prove directly an estimate for  $P(||T(b)||_1 < t||b||_p)$  — not via a concentration inequality. Unfortunately, we do not get a bound on  $P(||T(b)||_1 > a||b||_p)$  which is exponential in n. We are therefore forced to use more complicated estimates than in the usual "net" argument, and this is the reason why the factor  $\log n$  appears in the statement of the theorem.

# 2. The main lemmas

We will break the proof of the theorem into a few simple lemmas. The first lemma is an elementary probabilistic result.

LEMMA 1: Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent positive random variables with densities bounded by C. Then for every t > 0

$$P\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k < t\Big) \le \frac{(Ct)^n}{n!}.$$

*Proof:* Let  $\phi_k$  be the density of  $X_k$ . Then

$$\phi_{\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}}(s) = (\phi_{1} * \cdots * \phi_{n})(s)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{s-u_{1}} \cdots \int_{0}^{s-u_{1}-\cdots-u_{n-2}} \phi_{1}(u_{1}) \cdots \phi_{n-1}(u_{n-1})$$

$$\phi_{n}(s-u_{1}-\cdots-u_{n-1})du_{n-1} \cdots du_{1}$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{s-u_{1}} \cdots \int_{0}^{s-u_{1}-\cdots-u_{n-2}} C^{n} du_{n-1} \cdots du_{1} = \frac{C^{n} s^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.$$

Hence

$$P\Big(\sum_{k=1}^n X_k < t\Big) = \int_0^t \phi_{\sum_{k=1}^n X_k}(s) ds \leq \int_0^t \frac{C^n s^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} ds = \frac{(Ct)^n}{n!}. \qquad \blacksquare$$

Fix 1 and let <math>g be a normalized symmetric p-stable random variable. We will fix also m < n and attempt to embed  $\ell_p^m$  into  $\ell_1^n$ .

Define a symmetric random variable X by

$$P(X < t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < -n^{1/p}, \\ \frac{P(-n^{1/p} \le g \le t)}{P(|g| \le n^{1/p})} & \text{if } |t| \le n^{1/p}, \\ 1 & \text{if } t > n^{1/p}. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2: There exists a constant  $C = C_p$  such that for every  $(b_1, \ldots, b_m)$  in the unit sphere of  $\ell_p^m$  the density of  $|\sum_{k=1}^m b_k X_k|$  is bounded pointwise by  $C\phi_{|g|}$ , where  $X_1, \ldots, X_m$  are i.i.d. copies of X.

*Proof:* Put  $Y = \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_k X_k$ . Since  $\phi_{|Y|} \leq 2\phi_Y$  it is enough to prove that Y has a bounded density. Notice that the density of X satisfies

$$\phi_X \le \frac{\phi_g}{P(|g| \le n^{1/p})} \le \frac{\phi_g}{1 - C/n}$$

for some universal constant  $C = C_p$ . The last inequality follows from known estimates of the tail distribution of a p-stable (see [D]).

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_Y &\leq \left(\frac{\phi_X\left(\frac{\cdot}{|b_1|}\right)}{|b_1|}\right) * \cdots * \left(\frac{\phi_X\left(\frac{\cdot}{|b_m|}\right)}{|b_m|}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{C}{n}\right)^m} \left(\frac{\phi_g\left(\frac{\cdot}{|b_1|}\right)}{|b_1|}\right) * \cdots * \left(\frac{\phi_g\left(\frac{\cdot}{|b_m|}\right)}{|b_m|}\right) * \\ &\leq e^{2C} \phi_{\sum_{k=1}^m b_k g_k} = e^{2C} \phi_g, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_1, \ldots, g_m$  are i.i.d. copies of g and the last inequality follows from the fact that  $\sum_{k=1}^m b_k g_k$  has the same distribution as g.

We will now define the random variables which will be the object of our study:

$$Z_b = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j X_{ij} \right|,$$

where  $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_m) \in \ell_p^m$  and  $\{X_{ij}\}_{i=1,j=1}^{n,m}$  are i.i.d. copies of X.

COROLLARY 1: There is a universal constant  $C = C_p$  such that for every t > 0 and  $b \in \ell_p^m$ 

$$P(Z_b < t) < \left(\frac{Ct}{||b||_p}\right)^n.$$

*Proof:* We can clearly assume that  $||b||_p = 1$ . By inverting the Fourier transform of  $\phi_g$  we see that  $\phi_g \leq C$  pointwise, for some universal constant C. Lemma 1 then implies that

$$P(Z_b < t) < \frac{(Cnt)^n}{n!} \le \frac{(Cnt)^n}{\sqrt{2\pi n} n^n e^{-n}} \le (C't)^n.$$

We now pass to estimating  $P(Z_b \ge a)$ .

LEMMA 3: For every t > 0

$$\mathbb{E}e^{tX} \le 1 + \frac{1}{n} \left( \cosh(Cn^{1/p}t) - 1 \right),$$

where  $C = C_p$  depends only on p.

Proof: Using the known tail estimates of a p-stable we get that for every  $k \ge 1$ 

$$\mathbb{E}X^{2k} = \int_0^\infty 2kt^{2k-1}P(|X| > t)dt = \int_0^{n^{1/p}} 2kt^{2k-1} \frac{P(|g| > t)}{P(|g| \le n^{1/p})}dt$$
$$\le \int_0^{n^{1/p}} 2kt^{2k-1} \frac{1}{(1 - C/n)} \frac{C}{t^p} dt \le C' \frac{n^{2k/p}}{n}.$$

Hence, since X is symmetric,

$$\mathbb{E}e^{tX} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k}}{(2k)!} \mathbb{E}X^{2k} \le 1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(Ctn^{1/p})^{2k}}{(2k)!} = 1 + \frac{1}{n} \left( \cosh(Cn^{1/p}t) - 1 \right). \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 4: Set  $Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j X_{ij}$ , where  $||b||_p = 1$ . Then for t > 0

$$\mathbb{E}e^{t|Y_i|} \leq 1 + Ct + 2\left(\exp\left(\frac{||b||_1}{n||b||_{\infty}}(\cosh(Cn^{1/p}||b||_{\infty}t) - 1)\right) - 1\right),$$

where  $C = C_p$  depends only on p.

*Proof*: By Lemma 2,  $\phi_{|Y_i|} \leq C\phi_{|g|}$ , which implies that  $\mathbb{E}|Y_i| \leq C$ . Using the elementary inequality

$$e^a \le 1 + a + 2(\cosh a - 1)$$

we get that

$$\mathbb{E}e^{t|Y_i|} \le 1 + Ct + 2(\mathbb{E}e^{tY_i} - 1) = 1 + Ct + 2\left(\prod_{j=1}^m \mathbb{E}e^{t|b_j|X} - 1\right)$$

$$\le 1 + Ct + 2\left(\prod_{j=1}^m \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}(\cosh(Cn^{1/p}|b_j|t) - 1)\right) - 1\right).$$

Now, by convexity, for every j

$$\cosh(Cn^{1/p}|b_j|t) - 1 \le \frac{|b_j|}{||b||_{\infty}}(\cosh(Cn^{1/p}||b||_{\infty}t) - 1).$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} (\cosh(Cn^{1/p}|b_j|t) - 1) \right) &\leq \exp\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\cosh(Cn^{1/p}|b_j|t) - 1) \right) \\ &\leq \exp\left( \frac{||b||_1}{n||b||_{\infty}} (\cosh(Cn^{1/p}||b||_{\infty}t) - 1) \right). \quad \blacksquare \end{split}$$

Lemma 5: There is a universal constant C such that for all a > C and  $||b||_p = 1$ 

$$P(Z_b > a) \le e^{-Ca \frac{n^{1/q}}{||b||_{\infty}}}.$$

*Proof:* For every t > 0 we have

$$\begin{split} P(Z_b > a) &= P(e^{tZ_b - ta} > 1) \le e^{-ta} \mathbb{E} e^{tZ_b} = e^{-ta} (\mathbb{E} e^{tY_1/n})^n \\ &\le e^{-ta} \Big[ 1 + C\frac{t}{n} + 2 \Big( \exp\Big(\frac{||b||_1}{n||b||_{\infty}} (\cosh(Cn^{1/p}||b||_{\infty}\frac{t}{n}) - 1) \Big) - 1 \Big) \Big]^n \\ &\le e^{-ta} \exp\Big[ Ct + 2n \Big( \exp\Big(\frac{||b||_1}{n||b||_{\infty}} \exp(C\frac{||b||_{\infty}t}{n^{1/q}}) \Big) - 1 \Big) \Big]. \end{split}$$

Take

$$t = \frac{n^{1/q}}{C||b||_{\infty}}.$$

Notice that then

$$\frac{||b||_1}{n||b||_{\infty}} \exp(C\frac{||b||_{\infty}t}{n^{1/q}}) = \frac{e||b||_1}{n||b||_{\infty}} \le e.$$

Since for all  $0 \le x \le e$ ,  $e^x - 1 \le 6x$ , we get that

$$\begin{split} P(Z_b > a) &\leq \exp\left[-ta + Ct + 12\frac{||b||_1}{||b||_{\infty}} \exp\left(C\frac{||b||_{\infty}t}{n^{1/q}}\right)\right] \\ &= \exp\left[-(a - C)\frac{n^{1/q}}{C||b||_{\infty}} + \frac{12e||b||_1}{||b||_{\infty}}\right] \\ &\leq \exp\left[-(a - C)\frac{n^{1/q}}{C||b||_{\infty}} + \frac{36n^{1/q}}{||b||_{\infty}}\right] \leq e^{-Ca\frac{n^{1/q}}{||b||_{\infty}}}, \end{split}$$

for a big enough.

We will now prove a standard geometric result, the proof of which we include for the sake of completeness. Define a special set of points on the unit sphere of  $\ell_p^m$ :

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{1}_A}{|A|^{1/p}}; \ A \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}, A \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^m \right\},\,$$

where the multiplication  $\epsilon 1_A$  is pointwise.

LEMMA 6: There is a constant  $C = C_p$  such that for all m

$$\operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{F}) \supseteq \frac{C}{(\log m)^{1/q}} B(\ell_p^m).$$

*Proof:* Fix  $b \in S(\ell_p^m)$  and assume that  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots \geq b_m \geq 0$ . Define

$$\alpha(b) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} [k^{1/p} - (k-1)^{1/p}]b_k$$

and put

$$\lambda_m = \frac{b_m}{\alpha(b)} m^{1/p}$$
 and  $\lambda_k = \frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{\alpha(b)} k^{1/p}$ , for  $1 \le k < m$ .

Clearly  $\lambda_k \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k = 1$  and

$$b = \alpha(b) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\lambda_k}{k^{1/p}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i.$$

Holder's inequality gives

$$\alpha(b) \le \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} [k^{1/p} - (k-1)^{1/p}]^q\right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} |b_k|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\le \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{p(k-1)^{1/q}}\right)^q\right)^{1/q} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^q} \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{r}\right)^{1/q} \le C_p (\log m)^{1/q}.$$

We proved that if  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots \geq b_m \geq 0$ , there exist  $\alpha(b) > 0$  and  $v \in \text{conv}(\mathcal{F})$  such that  $b = \alpha(b)v$  and  $\alpha(b) \leq C(\log m)^{1/q}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is invariant under permutations and changes of sign, this shows that

$$B(\ell_p^m) \subseteq c(\log m)^{1/q} \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{F}).$$

## 3. Proof of the theorem

We will first estimate

$$P(\exists v \in \mathcal{F}, Z_v > a) \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}} P(Z_v > a) \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}} e^{-\frac{Can^{1/q}}{||v||_{\infty}}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} {m \choose k} 2^k e^{-Can^{1/q} k^{1/p}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m} {m \choose k} 2^k e^{-Cam^{1/q} k^{1/p}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{m} {m \choose k} e^{-C'am^{1/q} k^{1/p}},$$

for a big enough.

If  $k \geq m/2$  then

$$\binom{m}{k} e^{-C'am^{1/q}k^{1/p}} \leq \binom{m}{[m/2]} e^{-C'am^{1/q}(m/2)^{1/p}} \leq 4^{-m}e^{-C'am} \leq e^{-C''am},$$

for a big enough.

When k < m/2 put x = k/m. Stirling's formula gives

$$\binom{m}{xm} \le 2 \left( x^x (1-x)^{(1-x)} \right)^{-m}.$$

Hence

$$\binom{m}{k} e^{-C'am^{1/q}k^{1/p}} \le 2e^{-m(x\log x + (1-x)\log x + Cax^{1/p})}.$$

Let  $f(x) = x \log x + (1-x) \log(1-x) + Cax^{1/p}$ . Using the elementary inequality  $x^{1/q} \log(1/x) \le q/e$  we get

$$x^{1/q}f'(x) = -x^{1/q}\log\left(\frac{1}{x} - 1\right) + \frac{Ca}{p} \ge -x^{1/q}\log\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + \frac{Ca}{p} \ge -\frac{q}{e} + \frac{ca}{p}.$$

This shows that when a is big enough, f(x) is increasing, hence

$$\binom{m}{k} e^{-C'am^{1/q}k^{1/p}} \le 2e^{-m(\frac{1}{m}\log\frac{1}{m} + (1 - \frac{1}{m})\log(1 - \frac{1}{m}) + Ca\frac{1}{(m)^{1/p}})}$$

$$\le 2e^{\log m + (m-1)\log(1 + \frac{1}{m-1}) - Ca(m)^{1/q}} \le e^{-C'am^{1/q}},$$

which gives

$$P(\exists v \in \mathcal{F}, Z_v > a) \leq me^{-Cam^{1/q}}$$

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a  $\delta$ -net in  $S(\ell_p^m)$ . Then  $|\mathcal{N}| \leq \left(\frac{3}{\delta}\right)^m$ . We get that for every t > 0

$$P(\exists b \in \mathcal{N}, Z_b < t) \le \left(\frac{3}{\delta}\right)^m (Ct)^n < \frac{1}{2},$$

for  $\delta = Ct^{n/m}$ , where C is some fixed universal constant. This shows that, for a big enough, with positive probability there is an  $\omega$  in our probability space such that for all  $v \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $Z_v(\omega) \leq a$  and for all  $b \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $Z_b(\omega) \geq t$ . Fix such an  $\omega$  and define  $T: \ell_p^m \to \ell_1^n$  by

$$T(b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j X_{ij}(\omega) \right) e_i.$$

Then  $||T(b)||_1 = Z_b(\omega)$  and the above remarks show that

$$\sup\left\{||T(b)||_1; b \in \frac{C}{(\log(m))^{1/q}}B(\ell_p^m)\right\} \leq \sup\left\{||T(b)||_1; b \in \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{F})\right\} \leq a.$$

In other words,  $||T|| \le Ca(\log m)^{1/q}$ . Now, for any  $x \in S(\ell_p^m)$  we can find some  $b \in \mathcal{N}$  with  $||x - b||_p \le \delta = Ct^{n/m}$ . Hence

$$||T(x)||_1 \ge ||T(b)||_1 - ||T||\delta = Z_b(\omega) - ||T||\delta \ge t - Ca(\log m)^{1/q} t^{n/m}$$

Optimizing over t choose  $t = (Ca \log m)^{-\frac{1}{q}(\frac{1}{n/m-1})}$ . We deduce that

$$||T^{-1}|| \le (K \log m)^{\frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{n/m-1}},$$

for some universal constant K, and this is the required result.

## 4. Remarks

In this section we would like to explain some of the inherent difficulties in our approach. Assume that for every  $b \in \ell_p^m$  we have a random variable of the form

$$Z'_b = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=1}^m b_j X'_{ij} \right|,$$

where  $\{X'_{ij}\}_{i=1,j=1}^{n,m}$  are i.i.d. copies of some symmetric random variable X'. If we somehow (for instance, via Lemma 1) get an estimate of the form  $P(Z'_b < t) < (Ct)^n$  for  $||b||_p = 1$ , it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}\bigg|\sum_{i=1}^m b_j X_{1j}'\bigg| = \mathbb{E} Z_b' \geq \frac{C}{2} P\Big(Z_b' \geq \frac{C}{2}\Big) \geq \frac{C}{2} \Big(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\Big) \geq C'.$$

Now.

$$C \le \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m b_j X'_{1j} \right| \le \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m b_j X'_{1j} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} = ||b||_2 (\mathbb{E}|X'|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Since this is true for all  $||b||_p = 1$ , it follows that  $\mathbb{E}|X'|^2 \geq Cn^{2/p-1}$ . Hence, for all k,  $\mathbb{E}|X'|^k \geq C^k n^{k/p-k/2}$ . Now, by symmetry,

$$\mathbb{E}e^{t|\sum_{j=1}^{m}b_{j}X'_{1j}|} \ge \mathbb{E}e^{t\sum_{j=1}^{m}|b_{j}|X'_{1j}} = \prod_{j=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}e^{t|b_{j}|X'}$$
$$\ge \prod_{j=1}^{m}\cosh(Cn^{1/p-1/2}|b_{j}|t).$$

If, for instance, b = (1, 0, ..., 0) then we deduce  $\mathbb{E}e^{tZ_b'} \geq e^{Cn^{1/p-1/2}t}$ , so that the tails of  $Z_b'$  cannot be exponential in n and a, and the usual "net" argument isn't applicable. This is why we are forced to introduce concrete nets, such as the family  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, for our specific  $Z_b$ , it is possible to show that if b = (1, 0, ..., 0) then the estimate in Lemma 5 is best possible, up to a  $\log n$  factor in the exponent.

### References

- [D] R. Durrett, Probability Theory and Examples, Duxbury Press, Belmont, California, 1996.
- [F-L-M] T. Figiel, J. Lindenstrauss and V. Milman, The dimension of almost spherical sections of convex bodies, Acta Mathematica 129 (1977), 53–94.
- [J-S1] W. B. Johnson and G. Schechtman, Embedding  $\ell_p^m$  into  $\ell_1^n$ , Acta Mathematica 149 (1982), 71–85.
- [J-S2] W. B. Johnson and G. Schechtman, Finite dimensional subspaces of  $L_p$ , in preparation.
- [K] B. S. Kashin, Sections of some finite-dimensional sets and classes of smooth functions, (in Russian), Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Seriya Matematicheskaya 41 (1977), 334-351.
- [M-S,1] V. D. Milman and G. Schechtman, Asymptotic theory of finite dimensional normed spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1200, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [M-S,2] V. D. Milman and G. Schechtman, An "isomorphic" version of Dvoretzky's theorem, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, Série I 321 (1995), 541–544.

- [M-S,3] V. D. Milman and G Schechtman, An "isomorphic" version of Dvoretzky's theorem, II, in Convex Geometric Analysis (Berkeley, CA, 1996), Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications 34, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999, pp. 159–164.
- [P] G. Pisier, On the dimension of the  $\ell_p^n$  subspaces of Banach spaces, for  $1 \le p < 2$ , Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **276** (1983), 201–211.